EXHIBIT F

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA		
2			
3	PRO-LIFE ACTION MINISTRIES, Case No. 23-CV-00853 LUCY MALONEY, THOMAS WILKIN, (ECT/DJF)		
4	and DEBRA BRAUN,		
5	Plaintiffs,		
6	vs. CONFIDENTIAL		
7	CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS, a Minnesota municipality,		
8	Defendant.		
9			
10			
11	The VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of TIMOTHY D.		
12	STANLEY, taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition,		
13	at 150 South Fifth Street, Suite 3100, Minneapolis,		
14	Minnesota, before Gail M. Hinrichs, Registered		
15	Professional Reporter and Notary Public, taken on the		
16	16th day of September, 2024, commencing at		
17	approximately 10:09 a.m.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		APPEARANCES
2	APPEARING	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
3		B. TYLER BROOKS, ESQUIRE
4		PETER BREEN, ESQUIRE THOMAS MORE SOCIETY
5		309 West Washington Street Suite 1250 Chicago, Illinois 60606
6		
7		email: tbrooks@thomasmoresociety.org pbreen@thomasmoresociety.org
8	APPEARING	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
9		TRACEY FUSSY, ESQUIRE SARA LATHROP, ESQUIRE
1,0		MUNAZZA HUMAYUN, ESQUIRE (Via Teams) CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
11		105 Fifth Avenue South Suite 200
12		Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401
13		email: Tracey.Fussy@minneapolismn.gov Sara.Lathrop@minneapolismn.gov
14		Munazza.Humayun@minneapolismn.gov
15	APPEARING	FOR PLANNED PARENTHOOD AND TIMOTHY STANLEY:
16		PETER HENNIGAN, ESQUIRE
17		MASLON LLP 225 South Sixth Street
18		Suite 2900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
19		email: Peter.Hennigan@maslon.com
20	AICO DDECE	ENT: Adam Wallin, Video Operator;
21	ALSO PRESE	Tiernee Murphy, Planned Parenthood
22		
23	D Tulor	*The Original is in the possession of Attorney
24	B. Tyler	DIOOKS."
25		* * *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

VIDEO OPERATOR: We are on record. This is the video recorded deposition of Timothy D. Stanley, taken on September 16, 2024. The time now is 10:09 a.m. This deposition is being taken in the matter of Pro-Life Action Ministries, Lucy Maloney, Thomas Wilken, and Debra Braun versus the City of Minneapolis, in the United States District Court, District of Minnesota, case number 23-CV-00853, ECT/DJF. This deposition is taking place in Minneapolis, Minnesota. My name is Adam Wallin, the videographer representing Kirby Kennedy and Associates. Will counsel please identify themselves for the record. MR. BROOKS: Tyler Brooks of the Thomas More Society here with Peter Breen, also with the Thomas More Society, for the plaintiffs. MR. HENNIGAN: Oh. Peter Hennigan, with the law firm of Masselon LLP, representing Tim Stanley at this deposition, and with me today is Tiernee Murphy, in-house counsel at Planned Parenthood. MS. FUSSY: Tracey Fussy on behalf

and asking permission to paint the sidewalk, but our 1 2 bandwidth is stretched and we need to maximize 3 impact. Keep pressure on and let me know how I can 4 help. 5 Did I read that correctly? 6 Α You did. 7 And that's an email that you sent to 8 Council Member Goodman? 9 Correct. 10 Let me ask you this: What do you mean at either city hall? 11 12 Meaning either Minneapolis or Saint Paul 13 is what I believe I meant by that statement. 14 And when you say big talk, what types of 15 big talk were you referring to? 16 I would say years of discussion about 17 how -- how elected officials can help Planned 18 Parenthood. 19 And this Chapter 405 was a way to help 20 Planned Parenthood? 2.1 I would say that Chapter 405 is -- is one 2.2 way that Planned Parenthood can be -- can be 23 assisted by -- by the city, any city or -- or 24 municipality. 25 Q. And then you offer this statement: Even

```
though all we're doing is reiterating the FACE Act
 1
 2
       and asking permission to paint the sidewalk. We'll
       talk about the sidewalk in a second.
 3
 4
                  Reiterating the FACE Act, are you
 5
       referring to the federal FACE Act there?
 6
                  Correct, yes.
 7
                  And is that how you interpret what
 8
       Chapter 405 does is merely reiterates the FACE Act?
 9
                  That's what I've been told that's what it
10
       does.
11
                  Okay. Is that, in fact, what it does?
             Q
12
                        MR. HENNIGAN: Objection, calls for
13
       legal conclusion.
       BY MR. BROOKS:
14
15
             0
                  You can answer.
16
                  So, again, based on what I've heard, it
17
       very closely resembles the federal FACE Act.
18
                  Who told you that it simply reiterated
             0
19
       the FACE Act?
20
                  I don't -- I don't recall.
2.1
                  Who has told you that it closely
2.2
       resembles the federal FACE Act?
23
             A
                 I don't recall.
24
                  And asking permission to paint the
25
       sidewalk, what were you wanting to paint the
```